# HYDROGEN GAS TURBINES & THRUST GENERATION

Roadmap Report



FZO-PPN-COM-0023

Published March 2022

## CONTENTS

OVERVIEW: HYDROGEN GAS TURBINES & THRUST GENERATION
GAS TURBINE ROADMAP TECHNOLOGY INDICATORS
HYDROGEN GAS TURBINE ROADMAP
HYDROGEN COMBUSTION ROADMAP
THRUST GENERATION ROADMAP
RELATED FURTHER FLYZERO READING
ABOUT FLYZERO
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

# KEY & LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS



#### List of Abbreviations

APU – Auxiliary power unit CFD – Computational Fluid Dynamics HP/HT – High Pressure/High Temperature HPC – High Powered Computing MTO – Maximum take off thrust MCL – Maximum climb thrust SPU – Supplementary power unit



Figure 1 – Technology has been assessed against the NASA Technology Readiness Level (TRL) scale.

### **OVERVIEW: HYDROGEN GAS TURBINES & THRUST GENERATION**

This companion report outlines the technologies necessary for zero-carbon emission propulsion covering the gas turbine, gas turbine combustor and thrust devices (e.g. propellers). The essential and competitive technologies have been derived for liquid hydrogen fuelled propulsion through a series of studies using concept aircraft. The essential technologies include the necessary developments to allow a hydrogen gas turbine to function and the competitive items deliver performance improvements. The technologies are focused on narrowbody and midsize aircraft sectors but are not exclusive to these sectors. It is expected that these technologies would scale to the regional sector and to larger civil applications.

The essential technologies focus on the fuel delivery system and the combustor, as without these the gas turbine would not function with hydrogen fuel:

- > Gas Turbine Roadmap delivering the essential technology to transition to hydrogen fuel.
- > Combustion Roadmap detailed roadmaps on sub-elements, component and functions of the combustor.
- > Thrust Generation Roadmap primarily focusses on propellers and high-speed propeller technology; ducted fans are covered in the gas turbine roadmap.

The technologies that have been excluded or discounted from the scope are:

- **Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF)** out of scope as this is net carbon not zero carbon.
- Intercooler and pre-cooler cycles complexity, increased weight and risk of high-pressure losses makes intercooling compressed air for aerospace gas turbine engines an uncompetitive technology.
- > Open rotor not covered in detail common technology to all applications and fuels, not a requirement for hydrogen-based aircraft.
- > Inter turbine combustion i.e. a second combustor, mid-turbine was discounted due to the additional combustor pressure drop and engine length.

Key challenges with hydrogen gas turbines:

- > Fuel conditioning and delivery to the combustor is a key challenge as the fuel is very cold and compressible compared to conventional aviation fuels.
- > Designing a robust hydrogen combustor in the next five to ten years is challenging compared to previous combustor technology timescales.
- > Delivering the test facilities and infrastructure ahead of the technology development plan.
- > Understanding the atmospheric impact from burning hydrogen in aviation is essential knowledge required in the early phase of this programme.

# GAS TURBINE ROADMAP TECHNOLOGY INDICATORS\*

	2025	2030	2035	2050
Energy consumption (MJ/kNs)	0.56	0.55	0.54	0.49
Total Efficiency (%) thermal x propulsive	41	42	43	47
Unit cost divided by power \$/kW (System)	140	130	120	100
Time to first Shop Visit/overhaul (hours)			45,000	
Power density (kW/kg)	6.5	6.5	6.8	7.6
Emissions (NO <sub>x</sub> ) relative	80%	50%	30%	<10%
to Legislation (CAEP 8)				

#### Notes and Commentary

- Energy consumption: assuming hydrogen energy content at 118.353 MJ/kg
- > Efficiency: total cruise efficiency
- > Unit cost divided by take-off power: continued pursuit of cost reductions
- **Time on wing**: in hours
- **Power density**: small cores and larger fan systems with associated weight reductions and efficiency improvements
- Emissions: (NO<sub>x</sub>) current aerospace legislative process is based around the landing and take-off (LTO) cycle. Climate science findings may recommend adoption of the energy industry gas turbine limits by 2050 (10 parts per million)
- > Noise (aircraft): target includes future proof margin

## GAS TURBINE ROADMAP TECHNOLOGY INDICATORS

	2025	2030	2035	2050		Energ
Energy consumption (MJ/kNs)	0.56	0.55	0.54	0.49		payloa core c
Total Efficiency (%) thermal x propulsive	41	42	43	47		Gastu the cy
Unit cost divided by power \$/kW (System)	140	130	120	100		hybric
Time to first Shop Visit/overhaul (hours)	30,000	35,0	000	45,000		Unit o intellig witho
Power density (kW/kg)	6.5	6.5	6.8	7.6		On-wi
Emissions (NO <sub>x</sub> ) relative to Legislation (CAEP 8)	80%	50%	30%	<10%		costs equat like w
Noise A/C level db relative to Chapter 14	Ch 14		o 22db rgin	>22db margin		contri
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Douvo

It is predicted that a new aircraft entering into service in the 2030s will need to meet more stringent noise targets. The targets laid out in the table are expected to future-proof against new legislative targets from International Civil Aviation Organisation. (ref ICAO Annex 16, Volume 1, Chapter 14). Lighter take-off weights; slower, larger fans and rear mounted engines are some of the levers to deliver these targets.

nergy consumption will continue to be driven down primarily due to fuel costs rather than ayload/range as with carbon fuels. Hydrogen offers the potential for larger fans and smaller ore compared to carbon fuels. Hydrogen also offers cooling/heating opportunities.

Cas turbine efficiency will continue to develop and improve from core developments advancing he cycle temperatures, reduced losses and bypass ratio. Additions of water injection and hybridisation will also advance the design space.

nit cost is expected to continue to be driven down through advanced manufacturing and ntelligent designs. Hydrogen also offers a cooler cycle and opportunity to deliver efficiency *i*thout the same increase in cycle and material capability compared to carbon fuels.

On-wing life will need to be maintained and improved as it is a major part of the operating osts for an airline. A hydrogen gas turbine is 60K cooler than the kerosene equivalent which quates to two or three times the life for some components. This combined with technologies ke water injection, hybridisation and cooled cooling air for the turbine components will all ontribute towards improved time on wing.

Power density is first order important for aviation. Smaller cores and larger fans will drive efficiency improvements but the fan and nacelle mass must be reduced to compensate.

The technologies laid out for the combustor set a direction to deliver these NO<sub>x</sub> improvements whilst managing the other risks and issues with combustor designs. Legislation from the Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) may move to even more stringent levels, including the cruise phase of flight and this roadmap anticipates these levels.

#### HYDROGEN GAS TURBINE ROADMAP



#### HYDROGEN GAS TURBINE ROADMAP

	Optimised Cycle	and low-pressu thrust ratios of a gas turbine with	<b>Cycle Optimisation</b> : the switch to hydrogen fuel offers developments in emissions reduction, better cruise efficiency and low-pressure shaft power offtake. These include thrust ratio optimisation and hybridisation of the gas turbine. The thrust ratios of a hydrogen aircraft are more aligned as the fuel mass is less penalising. The opportunity for boosting the gas turbine with electrical power from a fuel cell is also created with a hydrogen fuel source. Not considered essential technologies but are future-proof options to deliver fuel burn, emissions and cost savings.			
S	Reduced Engine Weight	exceeds the add tank weight is n	<b>Reduction</b> : larger, more efficient gas turbines trade well on kerosene aircraft as the fuel mass saved ditional mass of the engine. This trade is less compelling with a hydrogen aircraft as the fuel mass and nuch lower. There is stronger emphasis on hydrogen gas turbines to reduce engine weight as the engine be more efficient.			
Technologies	Low Pressure System Developments	development of	<b>System Developments</b> : covers the fan system, fan inlet, exhaust nozzle, power gearbox and the f the higher bypass ratio gas turbine. The optimum bypass ratio of a hydrogen gas turbine is likely to be to f a kerosene equivalent placing a different set of priorities on the technologies.			
	Sustainability/ Life Cycle Impact	on emissions lik	<b>Life Cycle Impact</b> : developing an understanding of the atmospheric impact of the gas turbine focusing ke NO <sub>x</sub> , water, contrails and particulates is key. It also highlights the noise reduction challenge and the dopment to improve the sustainability of the gas turbine.			

Aerospace Technology Institute – FlyZero - Hydrogen Gas Turbines & Thrust Generation - Roadmap Report



\*Ref - Thermal Management Roadmap Report

Aerospace Technology Institute - FlyZero - Hydrogen Gas Turbines & Thrust Generation - Roadmap Report



\*Ref - Sustainability Technical Report \*\*Ref - Advanced Materials







Aerospace Technology Institute - FlyZero - Hydrogen Gas Turbines & Thrust Generation - Roadmap Report









#### HYDROGEN COMBUSTION ROADMAP



	Hydrogen capable combustion simulation tools	Hydrogen capable combustion simulation tools: aerospace combustor design is heavily dependent on a variety of computational fluid dynamic (CFD) tools. These tools cover one to three dimensions analysing aerodynamics, acoustics, mixing, chemistry and heat transfer.
ßS	Gaseous delivery system	<b>Fuel delivery system</b> : a hydrogen fuel delivery system will be transporting lower mass flows, low density and a wide range of hydrogen temperatures.
Technologies	Hydrogen Combustor	<b>Combustor</b> : the combustor must contain the flame in a safe and reliable manner. The concept recommended by FlyZero is significantly different to current aerospace approaches meaning significant development will be required to mature the technology. However, it also lends itself to additive manufacture which should realise cost reductions relative to conventional kerosene fuelled designs.
F	Hydrogen/Air mixer	<b>Fuel/air mixer</b> : this swim lane refers to the part of the combustor assembly where the fuel is introduced and mixed with a large proportion of the air delivered from the compressor system. The mixing must be complete within a fraction of a millisecond to achieve acceptable emissions.
	Engine start system for hydrogen	<b>Engine start system</b> : starting a gas turbine reliably is a key customer deliverable. Hydrogen presents a very different challenge compared to kerosene due to low temperatures from the cryogenic fuel delivery.
	Enablers	<b>Enablers</b> : the swim lanes above will require a range of infrastructures such as test facilities in order to perform the design and development task.

Aerospace Technology Institute - FlyZero - Hydrogen Gas Turbines & Thrust Generation - Roadmap Report









#### THRUST GENERATION ROADMAP











# RELATED FLYZERO FURTHER READING

The ATI FlyZero project developed its technology roadmaps through a combination of broad industry consultation and assessment of technologies by experts. Technology assessment was carried out both by the FlyZero team and by approximately 50 industrial and academic organisations that partnered with FlyZero to support delivery. During the project, FlyZero developed three concept aircraft and used this exercise to gain a deep understanding of requirements and challenges for systems and technologies, which have been reflected in the roadmaps. Further detail of these technologies and developments can be found in the following reports, available to download from <u>ati.org.uk</u>

#### FlyZero

Zero-Carbon Emission<br/>Aircraft Concepts<br/>Report<br/>Ref. FZO-AIN-REP-0007Aerody<br/>Technic<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadmaps<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Ref. FZOTechnology<br/>Roadmaps<br/>Report<br/>Ref. FZO-IST-MAP-0012Technic<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Therma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZOWorkforce to Deliver Liquid<br/>Hydrogen Powered Aircraft<br/>Ref. FZO-IST-PPL-0053Workforce to Deliver Liquid<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabil<br/>Def FZO

#### Hydrogen Aircraft



Roadmap Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0022

Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0023

Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0068 Electrical Propulsion System Technical Report Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-0028

**Roadmap** Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0029

Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0030 Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0070

#### Fuel Cells Technical Report

Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-0031 Roadmap Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0032 Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0033

Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0071

#### Cryogenic Hydrogen Fuel System & Storage

Fuel System Technical Report Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-024

Fuel Storage Technical Report Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-025

Roadmap Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0026

Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0027

Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0069

#### **Cross-Cutting**



# ABOUT FLYZERO

Led by the Aerospace Technology Institute and backed by the UK government, FlyZero began in early 2021 as an intensive research project investigating zero-carbon emission commercial flight. This independent study has brought together experts from across the UK to assess the design challenges, manufacturing demands, operational requirements and market opportunity of potential zero-carbon emission aircraft concepts.

FlyZero has concluded that green liquid hydrogen is the most viable zero-carbon emission fuel with the potential to scale to larger aircraft utilising fuel cell, gas turbine and hybrid systems. This has guided the focus, conclusions and recommendations of the project.

This report forms part of a suite of FlyZero outputs which will help shape the future of global aviation with the intention of gearing up the UK to stand at the forefront of sustainable flight in design, manufacture, technology and skills for years to come. To discover more and download the FlyZero reports, visit **ati.org.uk** 

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

**Lead Author Michael Sheath** Chief Design Engineer - Propulsion

**Co-Authors** Michael Spooner Zakia Hamadache *FlyZero would like to acknowledge the support and expertise provided by the following individuals or organisations noting the conclusions shared in this report are those of the FlyZero project:* Cambridge University, Cardiff University, Cranfield University, Dowty Propellers, Loughborough University, Oxford University, Ricardo PLC, Rolls-Royce PLC, University of Southampton.

FlyZero contributing companies: Airbus, Belcan, Capgemini, easyJet, Eaton, GE Aviation, GKN Aerospace, High Value Manufacturing Catapult (MTC), Mott MacDonald, NATS, Reaction Engines, Rolls-Royce, Spirit AeroSystems.

These roadmaps have been developed with a view to accelerate zero-carbon technology development and maximise the potential future value for the UK. They are unconstrained by the availability of funding.

Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy

FlyZero was funded by the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy.

Front cover image © Rolls-Royce

**Copyright 2022 ATI.** Parts of this document may be accurately copied, reproduced or redistributed only if unedited, unaltered and clearly credited to the Aerospace Technology Institute and the document title specified. This excludes images for which permissions from the copyright holder must be obtained. Aerospace Technology Institute registered in England and Wales Company No. 08707779 with its registered office at Martell House, University Way, Cranfield MK43 0AL.

# 

Roadmap Report

