# CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM AND STORAGE

Roadmap Report



180000800000000

40000000000

FZO-PPN-MAP-0027

Published March 2022

# CONTENTS

OVERVIEW: CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM AND STORAGE
TECHNOLOGY GENERAL ARRANGEMENT
TECHNOLOGY INDICATORS
CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM & STORAGE ROADMAP
CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN STORAGE ROADMAP
CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM ROADMAP
RELATED FLYZERO FURTHER READING
ABOUT FLYZERO
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TRL1

Basic

principles

reported.

observed and

# <u>KEY</u>



Figure 1 – Technology has been assessed against the NASA Technology Readiness Level (TRL) scale

# **OVERVIEW: CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM AND STORAGE**

This cryogenic hydrogen fuel system and storage roadmap report discusses the technologies required to support liquid hydrogen as the fuel of the future for commercial aircraft and their route to a 2026 Technology Readiness Level 6 (subsystem model or prototype demonstration on ground).

### The roadmap includes the following essential enablers for these technologies:

> Certification, Standards, Testing, Modelling Capability, Inspection & Maintenance, Material Qualification together with the supporting manufacturing and processing technologies that might be required with the introduction of new or improved materials.

### Fuel System technologies included are:

> Engine Feed System Pumps & Valves, Pressurisation, Temperature & Venting Technologies, Refuelling / Defuelling, Control & Indication, Leak Detection & Management and Pipe & Sealing Technologies.

### Storage Tank technologies included are:

> Metallic & Composite Pressure Vessels, Insulation Architectures and Materials, Thin Walled Liners and Airframe Integration solutions.

Technology indicators are ambitious targets that industry could expect to achieve in a competitive market environment as technologies advance.

This roadmap has indicators for both the Fuel System and the Fuel Storage technologies. The indicators are aligned to the three market sectors for hydrogen fuelled aircraft identified for FlyZero of regional, narrowbody and midsize aircraft.

# TECHNOLOGY GENERAL ARRANGEMENT



Pressure Management

			TRL6	2026	2030	2050
		Total Gravimetric Effic	ciency (%)	<b>47</b> %	61%	64%
		Gravimetric	Aft Tank 1 (Vacuum)	<b>57</b> %	<b>75</b> %	<b>75</b> %
Regional		(%)	Aft Tank 2 (Vacuum)	54%	<b>72</b> %	<b>72</b> %
		Maintenance	Flight Cycles	17,000	43,500	70,000
		Intervals	Flight Hours	17,000	43,500	70,000

			TRL6	2026	2030	2050	
		Total Gravimetric Effi	Total Gravimetric Efficiency (%)		66%	<b>69</b> %	
		Gravimetric Efficiency of Tank (%)	Aft Tank 1 (Foam)	<b>67</b> %	<b>76</b> %	<b>77</b> %	
Narrowbody			Aft Tank 2 (Foam)	<b>63</b> %	<b>72</b> %	<b>73</b> %	
		Maintenance	Flight Cycles	20,000	40,000	60,000	
		Intervals	Flight Hours	10,000	80,500	120,000	

			IRL6	2026	2030	2050
		Total Gravimetric Effi	Total Gravimetric Efficiency (%)		<b>72</b> %	75%
		Gravimetric	Delta Tank 1 (Foam)	45%	65%	66%
Midsize		(%)	Aft Tank 2 (Foam)	82%	<b>89</b> %	90%
		Maintenance	Flight Cycles	15,000	27,500	40,000
		Intervals	Flight Hours	45,000	87,500	130,000

- - - -

# **Total Gravimetric Efficiency (%)**

The efficiency of the cryogenic hydrogen fuel system and storage is calculated as:

*Total Gravimetric Efficiency = Mass of Usable Fuel ÷ (Mass of Useable Fuel + Fuel System Mass + Empty Tank Mass)* 

The fuel system mass is considered to include fuel system equipment, pipework, and associated on-board hydrogen active cooling technology, if fitted.

As the technologies improves, the associated overall mass is expected to reduce resulting in increased gravimetric efficiency. However, the fuel system mass is significantly driven by the selected aircraft architecture as the pipework lengths between interfaces, the tank and propulsion system interfaces and pumping arrangements are key weight drivers for the fuel system. Consequently, fuel system weight could potentially increase in future aircraft concepts due to the evolution of interface requirements, but still achieve an increase in total gravimetric efficiency if the associated tank weight reduction is greater. Fuel tank technology is discussed further below.

For a given aircraft concept, the most significant fuel system weight saving is expected to be achieved through reduction in pipework structural and insulation weight. This will be achieved via material technology and the optimisation of associated thermal and leaked requirements through trade-studies involving interfacing systems and evolution of hydrogen aircraft operational requirements. Pumping technology, and the potential introduction of on-board active cooling technology to offset insulation weight, are other areas expected to enable significant improvement in total gravimetric efficiency.

The FlyZero team has estimated the 2026 total gravimetric efficiency to be 47% - 58% for the range of concept aircraft studied. Significant improvement is expected by 2030 and 2050.

# Gravimetric Efficiency of Tank (%)

The efficiency of hydrogen storage tanks has been measured in different ways on various programs, but for FlyZero it is calculated as:

# *Gravimetric Efficiency = Mass of Usable Fuel + (Mass of Useable Fuel + Empty Tank Mass)*

It is significant to note that this measure considers tank mass alone and does not included equipment such as pumps, sensors, mating pipework or attachments.

The size and shape of cryogenic fuel tanks are important factors in their gravimetric efficiency. For maximum gravimetric efficiency, the number of tanks in an aircraft should be minimised and their geometries should be close to spherical or low aspect ratio cylinders for thermal and structural reasons.

The thermal insulation architecture can have a significant effect on, not only the tank thermal performance, but the gravimetric efficiency of the tank. Foam insulations are simple, light solutions where as vacuum insulations (either with or without multi-layer insulation) are thermally superior but are heavier and more complex, and may need additional onboard systems to maintain the vacuum.

As technologies improve, the mass of the empty tank can reasonably be expected to reduce. New material technologies, such as the use of composite materials for pressure vessels or lower density more thermally efficient insulation materials, will provide a reduction to the tank mass with a subsequent increase in gravimetric efficiency.

The 2026 targets are estimates by the FlyZero team when evaluating the tank gravimetric efficiencies for the FlyZero concept aircraft.

## Gravimetric Efficiency of Tank (%) for the FlyZero concepts

When evaluating the smaller tank sizes in the FlyZero regional concept the design requirements necessitate a vacuum insulated tank resulting in a heavy structure and consequently a low gravimetric efficiency value.

The larger tank sizes of the FlyZero narrowbody and midsize (aft tank) concepts enabled the use of foam insulation. For similarly shaped tanks that are close to cylindrical, gravimetric efficiencies of 67% for the narrowbody and 82% for the midsize concept can be realised. The higher gravimetric efficiency of the midsize tank is attributable to the larger tank volume, the superior surface to area ratio and less stringent heat leak requirements<sup>\*</sup>. This allows a thinner and therefore lighter insulation layer.

The midsize concept also has two forward delta tanks. These have been shaped to fit below the cabin floor forward of the wing root. This unconventional boxed-in form requires a thicker pressure vessel wall and supporting internal structure, increasing the tank mass. Furthermore, the area to volume ratio is less efficient than a conventional shape reducing the gravimetric efficiency for these tanks to 45%.

The improvement in the gravimetric efficiency from 2026 to 2030 timescale is achieved by the change from an aluminium to composite material. This has a significant effect on the regional vacuum insulated tank with mass improvements on both the inner pressure vessel wall and the outer vacuum jacket wall.

Subsequent improvements will be achieved through to the 2050 timescale for foam insulated tanks by improvements in material properties for thermal conductivity and density.

\* The heat leak from the tank is driven by dormancy time requirements. Dormancy time is the time to reach a given pressure during a period when there is no fuel flow to or from the tank (e.g. time between refuelling and next flight).

# Maintenance Intervals (Flight Cycles - FC / Flight Hours - FH)

Initially components and tanks should achieve a minimum life of an aircraft heavy maintenance interval (D-Check) to minimise maintenance burden and maximise aircraft availability.

- **> Regional** 6 years / 17,000 FC / 17,000 FH
- **Narrowbody** 8 years / 20,000 FC / 40,000 FH
- **Midsize** 8 years / 15,000 FC / 45,000 FH

As confidence grows in predicting failure methods and rates through testing and in-service experience, maintenance procedures can be developed and scheduling periods increased with the ultimate goal of manufacturing components and tanks that achieve comparable flight cycle and flight hours targets of the aircraft over the 25 year life.

- > Regional 25 years / 70,000 FC / 70,000 FH
- > Narrowbody 25 years / 60,000 FC / 120,000 FH
- **Midsize** 25 years / 40,000 FC / 130,000 FH

Maintenance intervals are aspirational targets. These targets may need to be revised as the knowledge of the behaviour of materials and systems exposed to hydrogen improves.



### FZO-PPN-MAP-0027













FZO-PPN-MAP-0027







2022

2050

Technology

Mature \_\_\_\_\_

Key

2030

Essential Developm<u>ent</u>

Competitor Development

# CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN STORAGE ROADMAP 2026

2024

Tank	LH <sub>2</sub> Integrated Tank	Developing a thin-walled liner is critical to developing a lightweight composite tank.	
	Pressure Vessel	Manufacturing a thin-walled shell by machining has its limitations, typically approximately 1 mm. Spin forming thin wall shells is also difficult and does not give you a consistent wall thickness which means to guarantee a specific wall thickness you must increase the wall thickness in other areas and suffer a mass penalty. Novel processes have been demonstrated to manufacture a shell with a consistent wall thickness on small tanks (TRL4).	
ıtion	Foam & Adhesive	Developments are required for the bonding of metal liners to composite tank walls when considering the galvanic interaction of metals to composite materials, and the different material thermal expansion co-efficients.	
Insula	Vacuum Alternative	Polymers have been explored as a material for liners but will require development to advance their TRL which is currently lower than for a metal liner. The different thermal expansion coefficients of the liner and the tank wall will need to be managed so that the structural integrity of the join is not detrimentally impacted.	
ers	Metallic	Cryogenic LH <sub>2</sub> compatible thin wall metal liners for composite tanks	
Line	Alternative	Application of novel processes and materials for tank liners	
a D	Structure		
frame gratio	Bonding & Protection		
Air Inte	Configuration		

2028

2022

2024

Tank	LH <sub>2</sub> Integrated Tank Pressure Vessel	Non-integrated tanks (tanks that don't form part of the airframe. Attachment methods will manage the thermal expansion and contraction of the tank, heat leak and also isolate the tank pressure wall from airframe and flight loads.
tion	Foam & Adhesive	In addition, the tank will need to be accessible for inspection and also easily removable for either maintenance or end of life retirement
llat	Vacuum	Modular or podded tanks that can be quickly removed from the airframe have the
Insu	Alternative	<ul> <li>Understand the impacts of thermal expansion / contraction, airframe and flight physics loads and emergency landing loads on tank integration into the airframe.</li> <li>potential of reducing turnaround times and easing inspection operations. To realise this configuration of tank, fuel system and sealing technologies will need to be developed to ensure the safe connection and disconnection of hydrogen couplings.</li> </ul>
lers	Metallic	Develop effective attachment solutions to isolate / minimise loads through to the tank pressure wall. Consider ease of The development of irregular shaped tanks such as ellipsoid, rectangular etc. that are not as efficient as a sphere or cylinder will require novel and lightweight materials and architecture solutions but will offer the opportunity to maximise the use of space and
Ŀ,	Alternative	operations.
ion	Structure	Management of thermal and flight loads - tank / airframe       Continued development in line with airframe technology advancement         structural interface       Continued development in line with airframe technology advancement
rfran egrat	Bonding & Protection	2 Sparking, lightning and electromagnetic hazard Continued development in line with technology advancements for tank and fuel system protection
Inte	Configuration	Configurations to minimise airframe weight and maximise use of space, reduce turnaround times, improved operability

2026

Liquid hydrogen will need to be protected from either the effects of indirect lightning strikes, or exposure to electromagnetic hazard (EMH). Design solutions will need to electrically bond the storage tank and the fuel system components to the airframe either via inherent bonding through the attachments or by a dedicated bonding solution.

2028

> Design solutions to electrically bond the tank and fuel system components and protect the liquid hydrogen from either lightning strike or EMH exposure.

Technology

Mature

2050

Essential Development

Key

2030

Competitor Development



CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM ROADMAP								
	2	022 2024	2026 2028	2030	2050			
uel fuel	System	Integrated refuel / defuel system demonstrated	on-ground Continued development of re	fuelling/defuelling technologies integrated with gr	ound support equipment			
Ref /Def	Equipment	$LH_2$ refuel / ground venting coupling	Continued developmen	nt in line with improvement in system technologies				
	C & I System	Integrated LH <sub>2</sub> control & indication (C&I) sy demonstrated on-ground	stem	dvanced generation C&I system demonstrated				
ation	Probes	LH <sub>2</sub> level gauging probes electrical or optical technology	More	e reliable, and longer life probes				
	Level Sensors	Float operated level sensor	More re	eliable, and longer life level sensors				
Indio H <sub>2</sub>	Press Sensors	Tank & system pressure sensor / pressure transducer	More relia	able, and longer life pressure sensors				
	Press Switch	Tank & pump pressure switches (diaphragm)	More relia	ble, and longer life pressure switches				
Cont	Temp. Sensors	LH <sub>2</sub> temperature sensors (Fibre Bragg Grating)	More reliab	le, and longer life temperature sensors				
	Flow Meters	Supercritical flow sensors for redundancy	More r	reliable, non intrusive flow sensors				
- -	Press Sensors	Pressure sensors validated in laboratory environment	Continued developmer	nt in line with improvement in system technologies				
Ċ	Temperature	Temperature sensors validated in laboratory environment	Continued developmer	nt in line with improvement in system technologies				

CRY	OGENIC	HYD	ROGEN FUEL	SYSTEM	1 ROADMAP		Key Essent Develop	ial Competitor Technology Adure
		2022	2024	2026	2028	2030		2050
ction ment	System		Leak detection, prevention mana system demonstrated on-gro	gement bund	Advar	nced generation system den	monstrated on-ground	
c Detec inager	Fibre Optic	Та	nk / pipe temperature detection (fibre Bragg grating)		Continued developmen	t in line with improvement i	in system technologies	
Leak & Ma	GH <sub>2</sub> Sensor	GH	2 concentration detection sensors		Continued developmen	t in line with improvement i	in system technologies	
	LH <sub>2</sub> Pipes	Cryo	genic insulated stainless-steel pipes		Lighter, r	more reliable, leak mitigatio	n pipes	
_	Connectors		Cryogenic LH <sub>2</sub> pipe connectors / flexible joints		Continued developme	nt in line with improvement	t in pipe technologies	
aling ogy	LH <sub>2</sub> Sealing		$LH_2$ seal technology for aircraft		LH;	2 self-sealing breakaway valv	ve	
s & Se hnol	LH <sub>2</sub> Lubrication	n L-	l₂ lubrication technology for seals & moving parts		Increased	d service life, reduced maint	tenance	
Pipes Tec	GH <sub>2</sub> Pipes		GH <sub>2</sub> pipes		Lighter, r	more reliable, leak mitigatio	n pipes	
	GH <sub>2</sub> Sealing		GH <sub>2</sub> seal technology for aircraft		GH	l2 self-sealing breakaway valv	ve	
	GH <sub>2</sub> Lubrication	n <sup>GH</sup>	I <sub>2</sub> lubrication technology for seals & moving parts		Increased	d service life, reduced maint	tenance	















2050

Technology Mature

Competitor Development

# CRYOGENIC HYDROGEN FUEL SYSTEM ROADMAP

2024

The control and indication system allows control of the fuel system during normal and abnormal operations including refuelling.

2022

The system includes all sensors required to control the fuel flow within the aircraft, maintain the tank pressure to an acceptable level, detect abnormal operation, failures and activate mitigation action. The system also includes the associated data processing and control computers.

The system definition will require modelling capabilities to be available to identify the correct data required for the fuel system management, as well as the required sensitivity and response time. Testing will be required to confirm the system's operation in normal and degraded cases.

The system safety analysis will confirm the design assurance level of the system and the equipment.

Note that the system is expected to be less reliable than the current kerosene system. There will be no in-service data and therefore, one critical aspect to consider is the ability to perform trend analysis during development and in-service. Predictive maintenance is expected to be a key feature of the fuel system control and indication system to identify changes to the system. The ability to anticipate failures before they occur will support airline maintenance scheduling. In addition, self-assessment capability to ensure the system's health and reduce the risk exposure before flight (e.g. during refuel) is also to be considered. The control and indication function will require gauging of the fuel quantity and associated temperature and pressure sensors. The technology identified for measuring the liquid hydrogen is listed below:

2028

2026

- LH<sub>2</sub> gauging probes using either electric or optical technology. Optical technology would be an advantage to address ignition risk.
- Level sensors to assess critically high or low fuel levels in the tanks in operation or during refuel.
- Pressure and temperature sensors to monitor the hydrogen in the tank. LP switches are used to turn off the associated pump in case of low pressure.
   Temperature sensors will monitor the temperature within the tank for fuel system operation and will be used for the chill-down and refuel process.
- Flow meters can be used to measure the fuel flow in the feed lines to the engine. The fuel is expected to be in supercritical phase after the HP pump.
- The first generation is expected to be an adaptation of the currently existing technology while the next generation is expected improvement on weight, reliability and life.

The control and indication function will require measurement of the tank ullage temperature and pressure and other gaseous system temperatures and pressures outside the tank. The sensor technology is identified as:

Essential Development

Key

2030

Gaseous hydrogen temperature and pressure sensors. These are required to monitor the tank ullage temperature and pressure. The pressure sensors will monitor tank pressure to allow pressure control via the pressurisation system. Pressurisation system temperature sensors are required to identify system failures to allow mitigation of the failure or shutdown of the system.

These technologies are already existing and very mature in other industry sectors. The main effort is qualification to cryogenic and aircraft environment.





Joining technologies will need to be developed for standard pipework joints and dissimilar transition joints such as aluminium to stainless steel and 2xxx series to 6xxx series aluminium, considering the cryogenic hydrogen environment. Lubrication technology will need to be developed in conjunction with sealing design to support gaseous and liquid hydrogen environments, encompassing a range of applications from static to dynamic seals, and specific pump shaft sealing applications. Self-sealing break-away valves are expected to be required to mitigate large pipework leak events, particularly relating to survivable crash scenarios. These valves would be installed at pipework joints, or at critical locations. The closed failure rate for these devices is critical. The electrical bonding capability and ignition sources around these joints would need to be addressed.

# RELATED FLYZERO FURTHER READING

The ATI FlyZero project developed its technology roadmaps through a combination of broad industry consultation and assessment of technologies by experts. Technology assessment was carried out both by the FlyZero team and by approximately 50 industrial and academic organisations that partnered with FlyZero to support delivery. During the project, FlyZero developed three concept aircraft and used this exercise to gain a deep understanding of requirements and challenges for systems and technologies, which have been reflected in the roadmaps. Further detail of these technologies and developments can be found in the following reports, available to download from **ati.org.uk**:

# FlyZero

Zero-Carbon Emission<br/>Aircraft Concepts<br/>Report<br/>Ref. FZO-AIN-REP-0007Aerody<br/>Technic<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZOTechnology<br/>Roadmaps<br/>Report<br/>Ref. FZO-IST-MAP-0012Technic<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Therma<br/>Technic<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Roadma<br/>Ref. FZOWorkforce to Deliver Liquid<br/>Hydrogen Powered Aircraft<br/>Ref. FZO-IST-PPL-0053Workforce to Deliver Liquid<br/>Ref. FZO<br/>Capabili<br/>Ref. FZO

# Hydrogen Aircraft



Roadmap Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0022

Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0023

Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0068 Electrical Propulsion System Technical Report Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-0028

**Roadmap** Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0029

Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0030 Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0070

### Fuel Cells Technical Report

Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-0031 Roadmap Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0032 Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0033

Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0071

### Cryogenic Hydrogen Fuel System & Storage

Fuel System Technical Report Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-024

Fuel Storage Technical Report Ref. FZO-PPN-REP-025

Roadmap Ref. FZO-PPN-MAP-0026

Roadmap Report Ref. FZO-PPN-COM-0027

Capability Report Ref. FZO-PPN-CAP-0069

# **Cross-Cutting**



# ABOUT FLYZERO

Led by the Aerospace Technology Institute and backed by the UK government, FlyZero began in early 2021 as an intensive research project investigating zero-carbon emission commercial flight. This independent study has brought together experts from across the UK to assess the design challenges, manufacturing demands, operational requirements and market opportunity of potential zero-carbon emission aircraft concepts.

FlyZero has concluded that green liquid hydrogen is the most viable zero-carbon emission fuel with the potential to scale to larger aircraft utilising fuel cell, gas turbine and hybrid systems. This has guided the focus, conclusions and recommendations of the project.

This report forms part of a suite of FlyZero outputs which will help shape the future of global aviation with the intention of gearing up the UK to stand at the forefront of sustainable flight in design, manufacture, technology and skills for years to come. To discover more and download the FlyZero reports, visit **ati.org.uk** 

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

### Lead authors

**John Turner** Structural Design Lead

**Stephanie Contaut** Fuel Systems Lead

### Co-authors Alessio Tarantino, Andrew Masson

FlyZero would like to acknowledge the support and expertise provided by the following individuals or organisations noting the conclusions shared in this report are those of the FlyZero project: Airbus Defence and Space, CAA, Cranfield University, Costain, Eaton, GKN, HSE, Norton Straw, Reaction Engines, Rolls-Royce PLC, High Value Manufacturing Catapult (NCC), TISICS, UKLS, TWI, WEC.

FlyZero contributing companies: Airbus, Belcan, Capgemini, easyJet, Eaton, GE Aviation, GKN Aerospace, High Value Manufacturing Catapult (MTC), Mott MacDonald, NATS, Reaction Engines, Rolls-Royce, Spirit AeroSystems.

These roadmaps have been developed with a view to accelerate zero-carbon technology development and maximise the potential future value for the UK. They are unconstrained by the availability of funding.

Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy

FlyZero was funded by the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy.

### Front cover image © ATI

**Copyright 2022 ATI.** Parts of this document may be accurately copied, reproduced or redistributed only if unedited, unaltered and clearly credited to the Aerospace Technology Institute and the document title specified. This excludes images for which permissions from the copyright holder must be obtained. Aerospace Technology Institute registered in England and Wales Company No. 08707779 with its registered office at Martell House, University Way, Cranfield MK43 0AL.

# Image: Constraint of the second se

Roadmap Report

